

# A New Setting of fuzzy separation axioms

Ismail Ibedou\*

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Benha University, Benha, (13518), Egypt

Current Address: Community College in AL-QUWAY'IYAH,

Dept. of Computer Science, King Saud University, KSA

Web site: [http://faculty.ksu.edu.sa/Ismail\\_Ibedou](http://faculty.ksu.edu.sa/Ismail_Ibedou), E-mail: [iibedou@ksu.edu.sa](mailto:iibedou@ksu.edu.sa)

---

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the  $L$ -separation axioms  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $GT_5$  using the notion of  $L$ -neighborhood filter defined by Gähler in 1995. We define also the axiom  $GT_6$  depending on the notion of  $L$ -numbers presented by Gähler in 1994. Denote by  $GT_i$ -space for the  $L$ -topological space which is  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 2\frac{1}{2}, 5, 6$ . The  $GT_i$ -spaces,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}, 4$  had been introduced and studied by the author in 2001 - 2004 in separate six papers. All the axioms  $GT_i$  are based only on usual points and ordinary sets and they are the usual ones in the classical case  $L = \{0, 1\}$ . It is shown that the axioms  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 2\frac{1}{2}, 5, 6$  fulfill many properties analogous to the usual axioms and moreover, the initial and the final of  $GT_i$ -spaces are also  $GT_i$ -spaces,  $i = 2\frac{1}{2}, 5, 6$ .

*Keywords:*  $L$ -neighborhood filters;  $L$ -real numbers;  $GT_i$ -spaces;  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces; Completely normal spaces;  $GT_5$ -spaces; Perfectly normal spaces;  $GT_6$ -spaces.

---

## 1. Introduction

We had introduced in [2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8] the  $L$ -separation axioms  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}, 4$  using the  $L$ -neighborhood filters at a point to define the axioms  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and using the  $L$ -neighborhood filters at a point and at a set to define the axioms  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 3, 4$ , and by using the  $L$ -real numbers, defined by Gähler in [12], to define the axiom  $GT_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ . We denote by a  $GT_i$ -space for the  $L$ -topological space which is  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}, 4$ .

In this paper, we define the  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces and the  $GT_5$ -spaces depending on the  $L$ -neighborhood filters at a point and at a set, respectively. The  $GT_5$ -space is defined as a completely normal  $GT_1$ -space.

We introduce also the  $GT_6$ -spaces using the  $L$ -real numbers. The set of all  $L$ -real numbers is called  $L$ -real line and is denoted by  $\mathbf{R}_L$ , where  $L$  is a complete chain. Here, using the  $L$ -topological space  $(I_L, \mathfrak{S})$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$  is the closed unit

---

\*E-mail: [ismail\\_ibedou@yahoo.com](mailto:ismail_ibedou@yahoo.com)

interval and  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the  $L$ -topology on  $I_L$ , a notion of perfectly normal  $L$ -topological spaces is introduced. The  $GT_6$ -spaces are the  $L$ -topological spaces which are  $GT_1$  and perfectly normal in our sense.

These  $L$ -separation axioms are extensions with respect to the functor  $\omega$  in sense of Lowen ([17]), this means that an induced  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \omega(T))$  is  $GT_i$  if and only if the underlying topological space  $(X, T)$  is  $T_i$  for all  $i = 2\frac{1}{2}, 5, 6$ . Moreover, the implications between the axioms  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}, GT_5$  and  $GT_6$  and the previous axioms  $GT_i, i = 2, 3, 4$  goes well. Counterexamples are given to assure these implications.

We show also that the initial and final  $L$ -topological spaces of a family of  $GT_i$ -spaces,  $i = 2\frac{1}{2}, 5, 6$ , are  $GT_i$ . Therefore the  $L$ -topological product spaces, subspaces, sum spaces and quotient spaces of  $GT_i$ -spaces,  $i = 2\frac{1}{2}, 5, 6$ , are  $GT_i$ -spaces.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $L$  be a complete chain with different least and greatest elements 0 and 1, respectively. Assume that an order-reversing involution  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha'$  of  $L$  is fixed. Denote by  $L^X$  the set of all  $L$ -subsets of a non-empty set  $X$ . For each  $L$ -set  $f \in L^X$ , let  $f'$  denote the complement of  $f$ , defined by  $f'(x) = f(x)'$  for all  $x \in X$ .

In the following the  $L$ -topology  $\tau$  on a set  $X$  in sense of ([9, 15]) will be used. Denote by  $\text{int}_\tau$  and  $\text{cl}_\tau$  for the interior and the closure operators with respect to  $\tau$ . Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be two  $L$ -topological spaces. Then the mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $L$ -continuous provided  $\text{int}_\sigma g \circ f \leq \text{int}_\tau (g \circ f)$  for all  $g \in L^Y$ . If  $T$  is an ordinary topology on  $X$ , then the *induced  $L$ -topology* ([17]) on  $X$  is given by  $\omega(T) = \{f \in L^X \mid s_\alpha f \in T \text{ for all } \alpha \in L_1\}$ , where  $s_\alpha f = \{x \in X \mid \alpha < f(x)\}$ .

**$L$ -filters.** By an  $L$ -filter on  $X$  ([11, 13]) is meant a mapping  $\mathcal{M} : L^X \rightarrow L$  such that:  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\alpha}) \leq \alpha$  holds for all  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{1}) = 1$ , and  $\mathcal{M}(f \wedge g) = \mathcal{M}(f) \wedge \mathcal{M}(g)$  for all  $f, g \in L^X$ . An  $L$ -filter  $\mathcal{M}$  is called *homogeneous* if  $\mathcal{M}(\bar{\alpha}) = \alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in L$ . For each  $x \in X$ , the mapping  $\dot{x} : L^X \rightarrow L$  defined by  $\dot{x}(f) = f(x)$  for all  $f \in L^X$  is a homogeneous  $L$ -filter on  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  are  $L$ -filters on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{M}$  is said to be *finer than*  $\mathcal{N}$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{M} \leq \mathcal{N}$ , provided  $\mathcal{M}(f) \geq \mathcal{N}(f)$  holds for all  $f \in L^X$ . By  $\mathcal{M} \not\leq \mathcal{N}$  we denote that  $\mathcal{M}$  is not finer than  $\mathcal{N}$ .

A closure of an  $L$ -filter  $\mathcal{M}$  on an  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is the  $L$ -filter  $\text{cl } \mathcal{M}$  on  $X$  defined by ([14]):

$$\text{cl } \mathcal{M}(f) = \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau g \leq f} \mathcal{M}(g).$$

For all  $L$ -filters  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$  we have ([14]):

$$\mathcal{L} \leq \mathcal{M} \text{ implies } \text{cl } \mathcal{L} \leq \text{cl } \mathcal{M} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M} \leq \text{cl } \mathcal{M} \tag{2.2}$$

For each non-empty set  $A$  of  $L$ -filters on  $X$ , the supremum  $\bigvee_{\mathcal{M} \in A} \mathcal{M}$  with respect to the finer relation of  $L$ -filters exists and we have

$$\left( \bigvee_{\mathcal{M} \in A} \mathcal{M} \right)(f) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{M} \in A} \mathcal{M}(f)$$

for all  $f \in L^X$  ([11]). The infimum  $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{M} \in A} \mathcal{M}$  doesn't exist in general. The infimum  $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{M} \in A} \mathcal{M}$  of  $A$  exists if and only if for each non-empty finite subset  $\{\mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n\}$  of  $A$  we have  $\mathcal{M}_1(f_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{M}_n(f_n) \leq \sup(f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_n)$  for all  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L^X$ . If the infimum of  $A$  exists, then for each  $f \in L^X$  and  $n$  as a positive integer we have ([11]):

$$\left( \bigwedge_{\mathcal{M} \in A} \mathcal{M} \right)(f) = \bigvee_{\substack{f_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_n \leq f, \\ \mathcal{M}_1, \dots, \mathcal{M}_n \in A}} (\mathcal{M}_1(f_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{M}_n(f_n)).$$

If the infimum  $\mathcal{L}_1 \wedge \mathcal{L}_2$  and the infimum  $\mathcal{M}_1 \wedge \mathcal{M}_2$ , of  $L$ -filters  $\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2$  on  $X$  exist, respectively, then we have

$$\mathcal{L}_1 \leq \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_2 \leq \mathcal{M}_2 \text{ implies } \mathcal{L}_1 \wedge \mathcal{L}_2 \leq \mathcal{M}_1 \wedge \mathcal{M}_2 \quad (2.3)$$

**$L$ -neighborhood filters.** For each  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  and each  $x \in X$ , the  $L$ -neighborhood filter of the space  $(X, \tau)$  at  $x$  is an  $L$ -filter  $\mathcal{N}(x) : L^X \rightarrow L$  on  $X$  defined by  $\mathcal{N}(x)(f) = \text{int}_\tau f(x)$  for all  $f \in L^X$  ([14]). The  $L$ -neighborhood filter  $\mathcal{N}(F)$  at an ordinary subset  $F$  of  $X$  is the  $L$ -filter on  $X$  defined, by the author in [4], by means of  $\mathcal{N}(x)$ ,  $x \in F$  as:

$$\mathcal{N}(F) = \bigvee_{x \in F} \mathcal{N}(x). \quad (2.4)$$

**$L$ -real numbers.** Gähler defined in [12] the  $L$ -real numbers as convex, normal, compactly supported and upper semi-continuous  $L$ -subsets of the set of real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ . Each real number  $a$  is identified with the crisp  $L$ -real number  $a^\sim$  by  $a^\sim(\xi) = 1$  whenever  $\xi = a$  and  $a^\sim(\xi) = 0$  otherwise. The set of all  $L$ -real numbers is called  $L$ -real line  $\mathbf{R}_L$ .

By Gähler's  $L$ -unit interval ([12]) is meant the set  $I_L$  defined by

$$I_L = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_L^* \mid x \leq 1^\sim\},$$

where  $I = [0, 1]$  and  $\mathbf{R}_L^* = \{x \in \mathbf{R}_L \mid x(0) = 1 \text{ and } 0^\sim \leq x\}$ . Gähler had showed in [12] that the class

$$\{R_\delta|_{I_L} \mid \delta \in I\} \cup \{R^\delta|_{I_L} \mid \delta \in I\} \cup \{0^\sim|_{I_L}\}$$

is a base for an  $L$ -topology  $\mathfrak{S}$  on  $I_L$ , where  $R^\delta$  and  $R_\delta$  are the  $L$ -sets of  $\mathbf{R}_L$  into  $L$  defined by

$$R_\delta(x) = \bigvee_{\alpha > \delta} x(\alpha) \text{ and } R^\delta(x) = \left( \bigvee_{\alpha \geq \delta} x(\alpha) \right)'$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}_L$  and  $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$ , and note that  $R_\delta|_{I_L}, R^\delta|_{I_L}$  are the restrictions of  $R_\delta, R^\delta$  on  $I_L$ , respectively.

**$L$ -metric spaces.** In the sequel will be shown that the  $L$ -metric space in sense of S. Gähler and W. Gähler, which had been introduced in [10], is an example of our  $GT_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. By an  $L$ -metric on a set  $X$  we mean ([10]) a mapping  $\varrho : X \times X \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_L^*$  such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (1)  $\varrho(x, y) = 0^\sim$  if and only if  $x = y$
- (2)  $\varrho(x, y) = \varrho(y, x)$  (symmetry)
- (3)  $\varrho(x, y) \leq \varrho(x, z) + \varrho(z, y)$  (triangle inequality).

A set  $X$  equipped with an  $L$ -metric  $\varrho$  on  $X$  is called an  $L$ -metric space.

Note that  $0^\sim$  denotes the  $L$ -number which has values 1 at 0 and 0 otherwise.

To each  $L$ -metric  $\varrho$  on a set  $X$  is generated canonically a stratified  $L$ -topology  $\tau_\varrho$  which has  $\{\varepsilon \circ \varrho_x \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}, x \in X\}$  as a base, where  $\varrho_x : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_L^*$  is the mapping defined by  $\varrho_x(y) = \varrho(x, y)$  and

$$\mathcal{E} = \{\bar{\alpha} \wedge R^\delta|_{\mathbf{R}_L^*} \mid \delta > 0, \alpha \in L\} \cup \{\bar{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in L\},$$

here  $\bar{\alpha}$  has  $\mathbf{R}_L^*$  as domain and  $R^\delta|_{\mathbf{R}_L^*}$  is the restriction of  $R^\delta$  on  $\mathbf{R}_L^*$ .

**$GT_i$ -spaces.** In [3, 4, 7] we had defined the  $L$ -separation axioms  $GT_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}, 4$ , and in the following we recall some of these axioms which we need in this paper. An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (1)  $GT_1$  if for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  we have  $\dot{x} \not\leq \mathcal{N}(y)$  and  $\dot{y} \not\leq \mathcal{N}(x)$ .
- (2)  $GT_2$  if for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  we have  $\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist.
- (3) *regular* if  $\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \mathcal{N}(F)$  does not exist for all  $x \in X, F \in P(X)$  with  $F = \text{cl}_\tau F$  and  $x \notin F$  (or if  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ).
- (4)  $GT_3$  if it is regular and  $GT_1$ .
- (5) *completely regular* if for all  $x \notin F \in \tau'$ , there exists an  $L$ -continuous mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $f(x) = \bar{1}$  and  $f(y) = \bar{0}$  for all  $y \in F$ .
- (6)  $GT_{3\frac{1}{2}}$ -space (or an  $L$ -Tychonoff space) if it is  $GT_1$  and completely regular
- (7) *normal* if for all  $F_1, F_2 \in P(X)$  with  $F_1 = \text{cl}_\tau F_1, F_2 = \text{cl}_\tau F_2$  and  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$  we have  $\mathcal{N}(F_1) \wedge \mathcal{N}(F_2)$  does not exist.
- (8)  $GT_4$  if it is normal and  $GT_1$ .

Denote by  $GT_i$ -space for the  $L$ -topological space which is  $GT_i$ .

**Proposition 2.1** [3, 4]

- (1) Every  $GT_i$ -space is  $GT_{i-1}$ -space for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $GT_{\mathcal{G}_2^1}$ -spaces fulfill the following:  
     every  $GT_4$ -space is a  $GT_{\mathcal{G}_2^1}$ -space and every  $GT_{\mathcal{G}_2^1}$ -space is a  $GT_3$ -space.
- (2) The  $L$ -topological subspaces and the  $L$ -topological product spaces of a family of  $GT_i$ -spaces are  $GT_i$ -spaces for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

### 3. $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces

Now, we shall introduce our notion of  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces in the fuzzy case. It will be called  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.

**Definition 3.1** An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  if for all  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \neq y$  we have  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist.

By a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space we mean the  $L$ -topological space which is  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ .

In the following an example of a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

**Example 3.1** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  in which  $x \neq y$  and let  $\tau = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, x_1, y_1\}$ . Then  $\{x\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{x\}$  and  $\{y\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{y\}$ , and thus

$$\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)(x_1) = \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau g \leq x_1} \mathcal{N}(x)(g) = \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau g \leq x_1} \text{int}_\tau g(x) \geq \text{int}_\tau x_1(x) = 1.$$

Also,  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)(y_1) = 1$ . That is, there are  $f = x_1 \in L^X$  and  $g = y_1 \in L^X$  such that  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)(g) > \sup(f \wedge g)$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

The following proposition states that the implication from  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces to  $GT_2$ -spaces goes well.

**Proposition 3.1** Every  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space is  $GT_2$ -space.

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{N}(x) \leq \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)$ , by means of (2.2), for all  $x \in X$ , then from (2.3) we get  $\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y) \leq \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)$ , and therefore  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist implies  $\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist as well. Thus for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  we have  $\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist and hence  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_2$ -space.  $\square$

The class of  $GT_2$ -spaces is larger than the class of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces. In this example we introduce a  $GT_2$ -space which is not  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

**Example 3.2** Let the  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  be, in the crisp case, the space so called Irrational Slope Topological Space. That is,  $X$  is the closed upper half plane  $\{(x, y) \mid y \geq 0\}$  in  $\mathbf{Q}^2$  and some irrational number  $\theta$  is fixed, and  $\tau$  is defined as follows: for each point  $(x, y) \in X$ , the  $\tau$ -neighborhoods will be  $\{(x, y)\} \cup B_\epsilon(\frac{x+y}{\theta}) \cup B_\epsilon(\frac{x-y}{\theta})$ , where  $B_\epsilon(\eta) = \{r \in \mathbf{Q} \mid \eta - \epsilon < r < \eta + \epsilon\}$  for all  $\eta \in \mathbf{R}$  and for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Each  $\tau$ -neighborhood of  $(x, y)$  consists of  $(x, y)$  itself plus two open intervals centered at the two irrational points  $\frac{x+y}{\theta}$  and  $\frac{x-y}{\theta}$ , and the lines joining these points to  $(x, y)$  have slope  $\pm\theta$ . Hence, we get that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_2$ -space and it is not a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

The following proposition and example show that the class of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces is larger than the class of  $GT_3$ -spaces.

**Proposition 3.2** *Every  $GT_3$ -space is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  and  $(X, \tau)$  a  $GT_3$ -space. Then  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_1$ -space and  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) = \mathcal{N}(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Hence,  $x \notin \{y\} \in \tau'$  and  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y) = \mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist, and thus  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

In this example we introduce a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space which is not  $GT_3$ -space.

**Example 3.3** Let the  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  be, in the crisp case, the space so called Half Disc Topological Space. That is, if  $P = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid y > 0\}$  is the open upper half plane with the natural topology  $T$  on it, and  $S$  denote the real-axis. Then  $X = P \cup S$  and  $\tau$  is generated on  $X$  by adding to the elements of  $T$  all sets of the form  $\{x\} \cup (P \cap U)$ , where  $x \in S$  and  $U$  is the Euclidean usual neighborhood of  $(x, 0)$  in the plane  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . That is,  $\tau$  is generated by a basis consisting of two types of neighborhoods: all open discs contained in  $P$  for all  $(x, y) \in P$ , and open half discs centered at  $\{z\}$  together with  $\{z\}$  itself for all  $z \in S$ . Hence, we get that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space and it is not a  $GT_3$ -space.

Here, we show that the  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space is an extension with respect to the functor  $\omega$  in sense of Lowen ([17]).

**Proposition 3.3** *A topological space  $(X, T)$  is a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if and only if the induced  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.*

**Proof.** If  $(X, T)$  is a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space and  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , then there are  $\mathcal{O}_x, \mathcal{O}_y \in T$  such that  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_x} \cap \overline{\mathcal{O}_y} = \emptyset$ . Taking  $f = \chi_{\overline{\mathcal{O}_x}}$ ,  $g = \chi_{\overline{\mathcal{O}_y}}$  we get that  $\text{sup}(f \wedge g) = 0$ , and from that  $\text{cl}_{\omega(T)}f = f$  and  $\text{cl}_{\omega(T)}g = g$  we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)(g) &= \bigvee_{\text{cl}_{\omega(T)}h \leq f} \text{int}_{\omega(T)}h(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_{\omega(T)}k \leq g} \text{int}_{\omega(T)}k(y) \\ &= \text{int}_{\omega(T)}f(x) \wedge \text{int}_{\omega(T)}g(y) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist. That is,  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

Conversely; if  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, then for  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exist  $f, g \in L^X$  such that  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)(g) > \sup(f \wedge g)$ , that is,

$$\bigvee_{\text{cl}_{\omega(T)}h \leq f} \text{int}_{\omega(T)}h(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_{\omega(T)}k \leq g} \text{int}_{\omega(T)}k(y) > \sup(f \wedge g),$$

which means that there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in \omega(T)'$  such that  $\text{int}_{\omega(T)}\lambda(x) \wedge \text{int}_{\omega(T)}\mu(y) > \sup(f \wedge g)$ . Taking  $s_\alpha\lambda$  and  $s_\alpha\mu$  for all  $\alpha \in L_1$ , we get two disjoint closed neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. Hence,  $(X, T)$  is a  $T_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

The following proposition shows that the finer  $L$ -topological space of a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space is also a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space and let  $\sigma$  be an  $L$ -topology on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . Then  $(X, \sigma)$  is also a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$  be the  $L$ -neighborhood filters at  $x$  with respect to  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , respectively. Since  $\sigma \supseteq \tau$  means that  $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \leq \mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$ , then (2.1) implies that  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \leq \text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\tau(x)$  holds for all  $x \in X$ . Hence, we have from (2.3),  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\sigma(y) \leq \text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\tau(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\tau(y)$ . Since  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\tau(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\tau(y)$  does not exist, then  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\sigma(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}_\sigma(y)$  does not exist, that is,  $(X, \sigma)$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

**Initial  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.** Consider a family of  $L$ -topological spaces  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$ . The supremum  $\bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i)$  of the family  $(f_i^{-1}(\tau_i))_{i \in I}$ , where  $f_i^{-1}(\tau_i) = \{f_i^{-1}(g) \mid g \in \tau_i\}$  and  $f_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ , and the infimum  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i)$  of the family  $(f_i(\tau_i))_{i \in I}$ , where  $f_i(\tau_i) = \{g \in L^X \mid f_i^{-1}(g) \in \tau_i\}$  and  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  fulfill the following result.

**Proposition 3.5** [5, 16]  *$\bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i)$  and  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i)$  are the initial and the final, in the categorical sense ([1]), of  $(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$  with respect to  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ , respectively.*

In the following we shall show that the initial  $L$ -topology  $\tau = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i)$  of a family  $(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -topologies with respect to  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  fulfills the following results.

At first consider the case of one mapping.

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective mapping and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. Then the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = f^{-1}(\sigma))$  is also  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

**Proof.** From Proposition 3.5, we have  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is  $L$ -continuous. Since  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is injective, then  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  implies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  in  $Y$  and then there are  $g, h \in L^Y$

such that  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(f(x))(g) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(f(y))(h) > \sup(g \wedge h)$ , that is,  $\bigvee_{\text{cl}_\sigma k \leq g} \text{int}_\sigma k(f(x)) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\sigma l \leq h} \text{int}_\sigma l(f(y)) > \sup(g \wedge h)$ . From that  $f$  is  $L$ -continuous, it follows  $(\text{int}_\sigma k) \circ f \leq \text{int}_\tau(k \circ f)$  and  $(\text{cl}_\sigma k) \circ f \geq \text{cl}_\tau(k \circ f)$  for all  $k \in L^Y$ , and hence

$$\bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau(k \circ f) \leq (g \circ f)} \text{int}_\tau(k \circ f)(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau(l \circ f) \leq (h \circ f)} \text{int}_\tau(l \circ f)(y) > \sup(g \wedge h) \geq \sup(g \circ f \wedge h \circ f),$$

where  $\bigvee_{y \in Y} (g \wedge h)(y) \geq \bigvee_{x \in X} (g \wedge h)(f(x)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (g \circ f \wedge h \circ f)(x)$  in general, which means that there are  $\lambda = g \circ f \in L^X$  and  $\mu = h \circ f \in L^X$  such that

$$\bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau \eta \leq \lambda} \text{int}_\tau \eta(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau \xi \leq \mu} \text{int}_\tau \xi(y) > \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu).$$

Hence,  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)$  does not exist in  $(X, \tau = f^{-1}(\sigma))$  and therefore  $(X, f^{-1}(\sigma))$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

Assume now that a family  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces and a family  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  of mappings  $f_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  which are injective for some  $i \in I$  are given where  $I$  may be any class.

**Proposition 3.7** *For the family  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces, the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i))$  is also  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

**Proof.** By a similar way, as in the proof of Proposition 3.6, we get that  $(X, \tau)$  is  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

The subspaces and the product spaces of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces, in the categorical sense, are special initial  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces ([1]), and therefore we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1** *The  $L$ -topological subspaces and the  $L$ -topological product spaces of a family of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces are also  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.*

**Final  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.** The final  $L$ -topology  $\tau = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i)$  of a family  $(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -topologies with respect to  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  fulfills the following.

In case of one mapping we get this result.

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective  $L$ -open mapping and  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space. Then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(Y, \sigma = f(\tau))$  is also  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ .*



**Proof.** Since  $f$  is surjective, then  $a \neq b$  in  $Y$  implies there are  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  such that  $a = f(x)$ ,  $b = f(y)$ .  $(X, \tau)$  is  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$  implies there are  $g, h \in L^X$  such that  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x)(g) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y)(h) > \sup(g \wedge h)$ . From (2.4), we have  $\mathcal{N}(x) \leq \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(a))$  and  $\mathcal{N}(y) \leq \mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(b))$ , and from (2.1), we get that  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(x) \leq \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(a))$  and  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(y) \leq \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(b))$ . Hence,  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(a))(g) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(f^{-1}(b))(h) > \sup(g \wedge h)$ , that is,  $\bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau k \leq g} \text{int}_\tau k(f^{-1}(a)) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau l \leq h} \text{int}_\tau l(f^{-1}(b)) > \sup(g \wedge h)$ , which means that

$$\bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau k \leq g} f(\text{int}_\tau k)(a) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau l \leq h} f(\text{int}_\tau l)(b) > \sup(g \wedge h).$$

From that  $f$  is  $L$ -open, it follows

$$f(\text{int}_\tau k) \leq \text{int}_{f(\tau)} f(k)$$

for all  $k \in L^X$ , and hence  $\bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau k \leq g} \text{int}_{f(\tau)} f(k)(a) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_\tau l \leq h} \text{int}_{f(\tau)} f(l)(b) > \sup(g \wedge h) \geq \sup(f(g) \wedge f(h))$ , where

$$\bigvee_{x \in X} (g \wedge h)(x) \geq \bigvee_{y \in Y} (g \wedge h)(f^{-1}(y)) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (f(g) \wedge f(h))(y)$$

in general, and also from that  $f$  is  $L$ -continuous we get

$$\text{cl}_{f(\tau)} h(f(x)) \geq \text{cl}_\tau (h \circ f)(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and all  $h \in L^Y$ , which implies

$$\bigvee_{\text{cl}_{f(\tau)} \eta \leq f(g)} \text{int}_{f(\tau)} \eta(a) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_{f(\tau)} \xi \leq f(h)} \text{int}_{f(\tau)} \xi(b) > \sup(f(g) \wedge f(h)).$$

Taking  $\lambda = f(g) \in L^Y$  and  $\mu = f(h) \in L^Y$  we get

$$\bigvee_{\text{cl}_{f(\tau)} k \leq \lambda} \text{int}_{f(\tau)} k(a) \wedge \bigvee_{\text{cl}_{f(\tau)} l \leq \mu} \text{int}_{f(\tau)} l(b) > \sup(\lambda \wedge \mu).$$

Thus,  $\text{cl}\mathcal{N}(a) \wedge \text{cl}\mathcal{N}(b)$  does not exist and therefore  $(Y, f(\tau))$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

For any class  $I$  we have the following result.

**Proposition 3.9** *Let  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  be a family of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces and  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  a family of mappings  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  which are surjective  $L$ -open for some  $i \in I$ . Then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i))$  is also  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ .*

**Proof.** By using a similar proof, as in case of Proposition 3.8, we get that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.  $\square$

The quotient and the sum spaces of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces, in the categorical sense, are special final  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces ([1]) and therefore we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.2** *The  $L$ -topological quotient spaces and the  $L$ -topological sum spaces of a family of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces are also  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.*

## 4. $GT_5$ -spaces

In this section we shall introduce the  $GT_5$ -spaces and make for these spaces a similar study to the study of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces.

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $L$ -topological space and let  $A, B \subseteq X$ . Then  $A, B$  are called *separated* if  $A \cap \text{cl}_\tau B = \text{cl}_\tau A \cap B = \emptyset$ .

**Definition 4.1** An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *completely normal* if for any two separated sets  $A, B$  in  $X$  we have  $\mathcal{N}(A) \wedge \mathcal{N}(B)$  does not exist.

**Definition 4.2** An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $GT_5$  if it is completely normal and  $GT_1$ .

A  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a *completely normal space* or a  $GT_5$ -space if it fulfills the axioms of being completely normal or  $GT_5$ , respectively.

We have the following example for  $GT_5$ -spaces.

**Example 4.1** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  with  $x \neq y$  and let  $\tau = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, x_1, y_1\}$ . Then  $\{x\}, \{y\}$  are the only separated sets which fulfill the condition of being completely normal and it is also  $GT_1$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.

The following proposition shows that the implication between  $GT_5$ -spaces and  $GT_4$ -spaces goes well.

**Proposition 4.1** *Every  $GT_5$ -space is a  $GT_4$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_5$ -space. Then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $GT_1$  and completely normal. Since any two disjoint closed subsets  $A, B$  in  $(X, \tau)$  are separated, then  $\mathcal{N}(A) \wedge \mathcal{N}(B)$  does not exist and thus  $(X, \tau)$  is a normal space. Therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space.  $\square$

Here, an example for  $GT_4$ -spaces which are not  $GT_5$ -spaces.

**Example 4.2** The Tychonoff Plank Space, in the crisp case, is an example for a  $GT_4$ -space and not  $GT_5$ -space. It is known that the Tychonoff Plank Space  $(T, \tau)$  is defined as follows: The Tychonoff Plank  $T$  is defined to be  $[0, \Omega] \times [0, \omega]$ , where  $\Omega$  is the first uncountable ordinal and  $\omega$  is the first infinite ordinal, and both ordinal spaces  $[0, \Omega]$  and  $[0, \omega]$  are given the interval topology, and  $\tau$  is the product interval topology on  $T$ .

In the following theorem there will be introduced some equivalent definitions for the completely normal spaces.

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $L$ -topological space. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is completely normal.
- (2) Every subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is normal.
- (3) Every open subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is normal.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(M)$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(M)$  be the  $L$ -neighborhood filters at a subset  $M$  of  $X$  with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\tau_A$ , respectively. Let  $B, C$  be two disjoint closed sets in  $(A, \tau_A)$ . Then there are  $F_1, F_2 \in \tau'$  such that  $B = A \cap F_1$ ,  $C = A \cap F_2$  and  $B \cap C = A \cap (F_1 \cap F_2) = \emptyset$ . Now  $\text{cl}_\tau B \cap C = B \cap \text{cl}_\tau C \subseteq A \cap (F_1 \cap F_2) = \emptyset$ , that is,  $B, C$  are separated sets in  $(X, \tau)$  and then we have  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(B) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(C)$  does not exist. Since  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(B) = \mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(B)$  for all  $B \subseteq A$ , then  $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(B) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(C)$  does not exist. Hence,  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a normal space.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $B, C$  be separated sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $C \subseteq \text{cl}_\tau C \setminus \text{cl}_\tau B = F_1$ ,  $B \subseteq \text{cl}_\tau B \setminus \text{cl}_\tau C = F_2$ ,  $F_1 \cap F_2 = \emptyset$ . Both of  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are closed in the open subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$ , where  $A = X \setminus (\text{cl}_\tau B \cap \text{cl}_\tau C)$ ,  $F_1 = \text{cl}_\tau C \cap A$  and  $F_2 = \text{cl}_\tau B \cap A$ .  $(A, \tau_A)$  is normal implies  $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(F_1) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(F_2)$  does not exist, and since  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(M) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(M)$  for any subset  $M \subseteq X$ , then there are  $f, g \in L^X$  such that  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(F_1)(f) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(F_2)(g) > \sup(f \wedge g)$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence,

$$\bigwedge_{x \in C} \text{int}_\tau f(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} \text{int}_\tau g(y) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in F_1} \text{int}_\tau f(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in F_2} \text{int}_\tau g(y) > \sup(f \wedge g),$$

which means that  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(B) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(C)$  does not exist, and therefore  $(X, \tau)$  is a completely normal space.  $\square$

From Theorem 4.1 and (2) in Proposition 2.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.1** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_1$ -space, then the following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.
- (2) Every subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space.
- (3) Every open subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space.

In the sequel, it will be shown that the  $L$ -metric space  $(X, \tau_\rho)$  in sense of Gähler, which had been introduced in [12], is an example for our  $GT_5$ -spaces, where  $\tau_\rho$  is the stratified  $L$ -topology generated by the  $L$ -metric  $\rho$  on  $X$ . To prove this result, we need the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.2** [7] *Any  $L$ -metric space  $(X, \tau_\rho)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space.*

**Proposition 4.3** *Any  $L$ -metric space  $(X, \tau_\rho)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.*

**Proof.** Let  $F, G$  be two separated subsets of  $(X, \tau_\rho)$ . Since any two separated sets are disjoint and from Proposition 4.2, in which the proof does not depend on that the two sets are closed, we get that  $\mathcal{N}(F) \wedge \mathcal{N}(G)$  does not exist. Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.  $\square$

**Example 4.3** From Proposition 4.3, we get that the  $L$ -metric space  $(X, \rho)$  is an example for our notion of  $GT_5$ -space, and thus from (1) in Proposition 2.1 and from Propositions 3.1, 3.2 and 4.1, we get that it is also an example of our  $GT_i$ -spaces,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 2\frac{1}{2}, 3, 3\frac{1}{2}, 4$ .

**Proposition 4.4** [3] *A topological space  $(X, T)$  is  $T_1$ -space if and only if the induced  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_1$ -space.*

Here we show that our notion of  $GT_5$ -spaces is an extension with respect to the functor  $\omega$  in sense of Lowen ([17]).

**Proposition 4.5** *A topological space  $(X, T)$  is a  $T_5$ -space if and only if the induced  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.*

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.4, we get  $(X, T)$  is a  $T_1$ -space if and only if  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_1$ -space. If  $(X, T)$  is completely normal and  $A, B$  are separated sets in  $(X, \omega(T))$ , then  $A, B$  are separated in  $(X, T)$  and hence there are  $\mathcal{O}_A, \mathcal{O}_B \in T$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_A \cap \mathcal{O}_B = \emptyset$ . Hence, there are  $f = \chi_{\mathcal{O}_A} \in L^X, g = \chi_{\mathcal{O}_B} \in L^X$  for which

$$\mathcal{N}(A)(f) \wedge \mathcal{N}(B)(g) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} \text{int}_{\omega(T)} f(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} \text{int}_{\omega(T)} g(y) = 1 > 0 = \sup(f \wedge g).$$

Thus  $\mathcal{N}(A) \wedge \mathcal{N}(B)$  does not exist, and then  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a completely normal space.

Conversely, let  $(X, \omega(T))$  be a completely normal space and  $A, B$  are separated sets in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $A, B$  are separated sets in  $(X, \omega(T))$  and there are  $f, g \in L^X$  for which  $\bigwedge_{x \in A} \text{int}_{\omega(T)} f(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} \text{int}_{\omega(T)} g(y) > \sup(f \wedge g)$ . Since  $\text{int}_{\omega(T)} f \in \omega(T)$  and  $\text{int}_{\omega(T)} f(x) > \sup(f \wedge g)$  for each  $x \in A$ , then taking  $\alpha = \sup(f \wedge g)$ , we get  $A \subseteq s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} f)$  and  $s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} f) \in T$ . Similarly, we get  $B \subseteq s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} g)$  and  $s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} g) \in T$ . Hence, there are neighborhoods  $\mathcal{O}_A = s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} f)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_B = s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} g)$  of  $A$  and  $B$ , respectively, and moreover we get  $\mathcal{O}_A \cap \mathcal{O}_B = s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} f) \cap s_\alpha(\text{int}_{\omega(T)} g) = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $(X, T)$  is a completely normal space.  $\square$

The following proposition shows that the finer  $L$ -topological space of a  $GT_5$ -space is also a  $GT_5$ -space.

**Proposition 4.6** [3] *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_1$ -space and let  $\sigma$  be an  $L$ -topology on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . Then  $(X, \sigma)$  is also a  $GT_1$ -space.*

**Proposition 4.7** [4] *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_4$ -space and let  $\sigma$  be an  $L$ -topology on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . Then  $(X, \sigma)$  is also a  $GT_4$ -space.*

**Proposition 4.8** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_5$ -space and let  $\sigma$  be an  $L$ -topology on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . Then  $(X, \sigma)$  is also a  $GT_5$ -space.*

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.6, we get that  $(X, \sigma)$  is a  $GT_1$ -space. Let  $A \subseteq X$ . Then, from Corollary 4.1,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $GT_5$ -space implies that  $(A, \tau_A)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space. Since  $\tau_A \subseteq \sigma_A$ , then from Proposition 4.7 we have  $(A, \sigma_A)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space. Hence, from Corollary 4.1 again,  $(X, \sigma)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.  $\square$

**Initial  $GT_5$ -spaces.** In the following we shall show that the initial  $L$ -topology  $\tau = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i)$  of a family  $(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_5$ -topologies with respect to  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  fulfills the following results.

**Proposition 4.9** [3] *Let  $(X_i, \tau_i)$  be a  $GT_1$ -space for all  $i \in I$  and let  $f_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  be an injective mapping for some  $i \in I$ . Then the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is also  $GT_1$ .*

Consider the case of  $I$  as a singleton.

**Proposition 4.10** *Let  $(Y, \sigma)$  be a  $GT_5$ -space and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective mapping. Then the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = f^{-1}(\sigma))$  is also  $GT_5$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(F)$   $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(G)$  be the  $L$ -neighborhood filters at subsets  $F$  and  $G$  of  $X$  and  $Y$  with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$ , respectively. If  $A, B$  be two separated subsets of  $X$ , then from that  $f$  is injective, it follows  $f(A) \cap \text{cl}_\sigma(f(B)) \subseteq f(A) \cap f(\text{cl}_\tau B) = \emptyset$  and  $f(B) \cap \text{cl}_\sigma(f(A)) \subseteq f(B) \cap f(\text{cl}_\tau A) = \emptyset$ . That is,  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  are separated sets in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and thus  $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(f(A)) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\sigma(f(B))$  does not exist, which means that there exist  $g, h \in L^Y$  such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A} (\text{int}_\sigma g)(f(x)) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} (\text{int}_\sigma h)(f(y)) > \sup(g \wedge h),$$

which means that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A} ((\text{int}_\sigma g) \circ f)(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} ((\text{int}_\sigma h) \circ f)(y) > \sup((g \circ f) \wedge (h \circ f)).$$

Because of that  $f : (X, \tau = f^{-1}(\sigma)) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $L$ -continuous it follows  $(\text{int}_\sigma g) \circ f \leq \text{int}_\tau(g \circ f)$  for all  $g \in L^Y$  and thus we have

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A} (\text{int}_\tau(g \circ f))(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} (\text{int}_\tau(h \circ f))(y) > \sup((g \circ f) \wedge (h \circ f)).$$

Thus there exist  $k = g \circ f, l = h \circ f \in L^X$  such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A} (\text{int}_\tau k)(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in B} (\text{int}_\tau l)(y) > \sup(k \wedge l).$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(A) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(B)$  does not exist, and thus  $(X, \tau = f^{-1}(\sigma))$  is a completely normal space and it is also, from Proposition 4.9, a  $GT_1$ -space. Therefore, it is a  $GT_5$ -space.  $\square$

Now consider the case of  $I$  be any class.

**Proposition 4.11** *For all  $i \in I$ , let  $(X_i, \tau_i)$  be a  $GT_5$ -space and  $f_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  a mapping of  $X$  into  $X_i$  which are injective for some  $i \in I$ . Then the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is also  $GT_5$ .*

**Proof.** By a similar proof to what we have done in Proposition 4.10.  $\square$

From Propositions 4.10 and 4.11, we get the following result.

**Corollary 4.2** *The  $L$ -topological subspaces and the  $L$ -topological product spaces of  $GT_5$ -spaces are also  $GT_5$ -spaces.*

**Final  $GT_5$ -spaces.** Now we are going to show that the final  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i))$  of a family  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_5$ -spaces is also a  $GT_5$ -space.

**Proposition 4.12** [3] *Let  $I$  be any class and  $(X_i, \tau_i)$  be a  $GT_1$ -space for all  $i \in I$  and  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  a surjective  $L$ -open mapping for some  $i \in I$ . Then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is also  $GT_1$ .*

**Proposition 4.13** *If  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a surjective  $L$ -open mapping, then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(Y, \sigma = f(\tau))$  is also  $GT_5$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F, G$  be separated subsets of  $Y$ . Since  $f$  is surjective and continuous, then  $f^{-1}(F), f^{-1}(G)$  are also separated closed subsets of  $X$ . From that  $(X, \tau)$  is a completely normal space, it follows  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(f^{-1}(F)) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(f^{-1}(G))$  does not exist, that is, there are  $g, h \in L^X$  such that

$$\bigwedge_{z \in f^{-1}(F)} (\text{int}_\tau g)(z) \wedge \bigwedge_{w \in f^{-1}(G)} (\text{int}_\tau h)(w) > \sup(g \wedge h),$$

which means

$$\bigwedge_{x \in F} (\text{int}_\tau g)(f^{-1}(x)) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in G} (\text{int}_\tau h)(f^{-1}(y)) > \sup(g \wedge h),$$

and this means

$$\bigwedge_{x \in F} (f(\text{int}_\tau g))(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in G} (f(\text{int}_\tau h))(y) > \text{sup}(g \wedge h).$$

Since  $f$  is  $L$ -open, it follows  $f(\text{int}_\tau g) \leq \text{int}_\sigma(f(g))$  for all  $g \in L^X$  and therefore

$$\bigwedge_{x \in F} (\text{int}_\sigma f(g))(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in G} (\text{int}_\sigma f(h))(y) > \text{sup}(f(g) \wedge f(h)).$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{N}_\sigma(F) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\sigma(G)$  does not exist, and thus the final  $L$ -topological space  $(Y, \sigma = f(\tau))$  is completely normal and it is also from Proposition 4.12, a  $GT_1$ -space, and therefore it is  $GT_5$ -space.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.14** *Let  $I$  be any class and  $(X_i, \tau_i)$  be a  $GT_5$ -space for all  $i \in I$  and  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  a surjective  $L$ -open mapping for some  $i \in I$ . Then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is also  $GT_5$ .*

**Proof.** By means of Proposition 4.12, and by a similar way to the proof of Proposition 4.13, the proof will come easily.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** The  $L$ -topological sum spaces and the  $L$ -topological quotient spaces of  $GT_5$ -spaces are also  $GT_5$ -spaces.

## 5. $GT_6$ -spaces

In this section we introduce the  $GT_6$ -spaces and make a similar study to our studies on the notions of  $GT_{2\frac{1}{2}}$ -spaces and  $GT_5$ -spaces. The  $GT_6$ -spaces are defined, using the  $L$ -unit interval  $I_L$  with the  $L$ -topology  $\mathfrak{S}$  defined by Gähler in [12], as follows.

**Definition 5.1** An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *perfectly normal* if for all  $F, G$  disjoint closed sets in  $X$ , there is an  $L$ -continuous mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G$ .

**Definition 5.2** An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $GT_6$  if it is  $GT_1$  and perfectly normal.

An  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $GT_6$ -space ( a *perfectly normal space*) if it fulfills the axiom of being  $GT_6$  (perfectly normal).

**Definition 5.3** A subset  $A$  of an  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $G_\delta$ -set ( $F_\sigma$ -set) if it is a countable intersection (union) of open (closed) sets.

The complement of an  $F_\sigma$ -set is a  $G_\delta$ -set and vice versa.

**Definition 5.4** A subset  $A$  of an  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *functionally closed* if  $A = f^{-1}(\bar{0})$  for some  $L$ -continuous function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$ . The complement of a functionally closed set is called *functionally open*.

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be  $L$ -sets in  $X$ . Then a function  $h : X \rightarrow I_L$  is said to *separate*  $f$  and  $g$  if  $\bar{0} \leq h(x) \leq \bar{1}$  for all  $x \in X$ ,  $x_1 \leq f$  implies  $h(x) = \bar{1}$  and  $y_1 \leq g$  implies  $h(y) = \bar{0}$ . Moreover, if  $\Phi$  is a family of such functions on  $X$ , then the sets  $f, g \in L^X$  are called  *$\Phi$ -separated* or  *$\Phi$ -separable*. That is, there exists a function  $h \in \Phi$  separating them ([7]).

**Lemma 5.1** [7] **Urysohn's Lemma** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an  $L$ -topological space, and let  $\Phi$  be the family of all continuous functions  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is normal if and only if for all  $F, G \subseteq X$  with  $F, G$  disjoint closed sets in  $X$ , there exists a function  $f \in \Phi$  which separates  $\chi_F$  and  $\chi_G$ .*

Using Lemma 5.1, we shall prove the following result.

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $A$  be a closed (open) subset of a normal space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set ( $F_\sigma$ -set) if and only if  $A$  is a functionally closed (open) set.*

**Proof.** Let  $A$  be a closed  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $A'$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set, that is,  $A' = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_n$ ,  $F_n \in \tau'$  for each positive integer  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . By Urysohn's Lemma, there exists a continuous function  $f_n : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I, U)$ , where  $(I, U)$  is  $(I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  in the crisp case, such that  $f_n(A) = 0$  and  $f_n(F_n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Set  $g(x) = \frac{f_n}{2^n}$ . Then  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I, U)$  is continuous, and for each  $x \in A$  we get  $g(x) = 0$  and when  $x \notin A$ , there exists an index  $n_0$  such that  $x \in F_{n_0}$ , and then  $g(x) \geq \frac{f_{n_0}(x)}{2^{n_0}} = \frac{1}{2^{n_0}} > 0$ , that is,  $g^{-1}(0) = A$ . Taking the continuous function  $\sim : (I, U) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  defined by  $\sim(i) = \bar{i}$  for all  $i \in I$ , we get that  $(\sim \circ g) : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  is  $L$ -continuous and  $(\sim \circ g)^{-1}(\bar{0}) = g^{-1}(0) = A$ . Thus  $A$  is functionally closed.

Conversely; suppose that there exists a continuous function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = A$  where  $A \in \tau'$ . Since the element  $\chi_{\bar{0}} : I_L \rightarrow L$ , which has value 1 at  $\bar{0}$  and 0 otherwise, is a closed  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(I_L, \mathfrak{S})$ , then  $A = f^{-1}(\bar{0})$  is a closed  $G_\delta$ -set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Taking the complements, we can show that  $A$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set if and only if  $A$  is a functionally open set.  $\square$

**Remark 5.1** Let  $F, G$  be two disjoint closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$  and let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  be an  $L$ -continuous mapping. Then we have

$$f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F \text{ and } f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G \text{ implies } f(F) = \bar{0} \text{ and } f(G) = \bar{1}.$$



That is, in general,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space implies that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space. Moreover, if  $f$  is injective, we get that

$$f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F \text{ and } f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G \iff f(F) = \bar{0} \text{ and } f(G) = \bar{1}.$$

In the next theorem, we introduce an equivalent definition for our  $GT_6$ -spaces.

**Theorem 5.1** *The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.
- (2)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space and every open set is an  $F_\sigma$ -set.
- (3)  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space and every closed set is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Since for any disjoint closed subsets  $F, G$  of  $X$ , there exists an  $L$ -continuous function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G$ , then from Remark 5.1 we have  $f(F) = \bar{0}$  and  $f(G) = \bar{1}$ . Hence, by Lemma 5.1,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space. Now, let  $A \in \tau$ , then for  $A' \in \tau'$  we get that  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = A'$  and then  $A'$  is functionally closed. Hence, from Lemma 5.2, we get that  $A'$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set and thus  $A$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Obvious.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): If  $F, G$  are two disjoint closed sets in  $X$ , then  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} A_n$  where each  $A_n$  is open and also  $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{N}} B_n$  where each  $B_n$  is open. Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_4$ -space, then from Urysohn's Lemma we have continuous functions  $f_n, g_n : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I, U)$  such that  $f_n(F) = 0$ ,  $f_n(A'_n) = 1$  and  $g_n(G) = 0$ ,  $g_n(B'_n) = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Set  $f_F(x) = \frac{f_n(x)}{2^n}$  and  $f_G(x) = \frac{g_n(x)}{2^n}$ .

Define  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I, U)$  by  $f(x) = \frac{f_F(x)}{f_F(x) + f_G(x)}$ , which means that

$$f(x) = \frac{f_n(x)}{f_n(x) + g_n(x)} = 1 - \frac{g_n(x)}{f_n(x) + g_n(x)}.$$

Then  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G$  and  $f$  itself is continuous. Using the continuous function  $\sim : (I, U) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  defined by  $\sim(i) = \bar{i}$  for all  $i \in I$ , we get that  $(\sim \circ f) : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  is  $L$ -continuous and  $(\sim \circ f)^{-1}(\bar{0}) = f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F$  and  $(\sim \circ f)^{-1}(\bar{1}) = f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G$ . Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.  $\square$

Now, we have an example for  $GT_6$ -spaces.

**Example 5.1** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$  with  $x \neq y$  and let  $\tau = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, x_1, y_1\}$ . Then  $\tau' = \tau$  and then  $\{x\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{x\}$  and  $\{y\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{y\}$ .

Since  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  defined by  $f(x) = \bar{1}$  and  $f(y) = \bar{0}$  is an  $L$ -continuous mapping, and also it is injective, then from Remark 5.1 we get that  $f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = \{x\}$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = \{y\}$ . It is clear that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_1$ -space. Thus,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.

The following proposition and example show that the class of  $GT_5$ -spaces is larger than the class of  $GT_6$ -spaces.

**Proposition 5.1** *Every  $GT_6$ -space is a  $GT_5$ -space.*

**Proof.** Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space. That is, by Theorem 5.1,  $(X, \tau)$  is  $GT_4$  and every open set is an  $F_\sigma$ -set. Then for an open set  $A$ , and for any two disjoint closed sets  $B, C$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , we have  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(B) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(C)$  does not exist, and since  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{N}} F_n$ ,  $F_n \in \tau'$ , then the disjoint closed subsets  $F = A \cap B$  and  $G = A \cap C$  of  $A$  are disjoint closed sets in  $(A, \tau_A)$  with

$$\bigwedge_{x \in F} \text{int}_{\tau_A} f(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in G} \text{int}_{\tau_A} g(y) \geq \bigwedge_{x \in B} \text{int}_\tau f(x) \wedge \bigwedge_{y \in C} \text{int}_\tau g(y) > \sup(f \wedge g)$$

for some  $f, g \in L^X$ , that is,  $\mathcal{N}_\tau(F) \wedge \mathcal{N}_\tau(G)$  does not exist and thus  $\mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(F) \wedge \mathcal{N}_{\tau_A}(G)$  also does not exist. Hence, the open subspace  $(A, \tau_A)$  is  $GT_4$  and therefore,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space.  $\square$

We introduce in the following example a  $GT_5$ -space which is not  $GT_6$ -space.

**Example 5.2** Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  where all the elements are distinct, and let

$$\tau = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, y_{\frac{1}{2}}, y_1, x_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}}, x_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee y_1, y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1, x_1 \vee y_1, y_1 \vee z_1, x_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1, x_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee y_1 \vee z_1\}.$$

Then

$$\tau' = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, x_{\frac{1}{4}}, x_1, z_1, x_1 \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}}, x_{\frac{1}{4}} \vee z_1, x_{\frac{1}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}}, x_1 \vee z_1, x_{\frac{1}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1, x_1 \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1\},$$

and there are only  $\{x\}, \{z\}$  as disjoint closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since any mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = \{x\}$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = \{z\}$  is not  $L$ -continuous, then  $(X, \tau)$  is not perfectly normal and thus it is not a  $GT_6$ -space.

Now, we prove that  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space. At first  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_1$ -space from that:

At  $x \neq y$ :  $f = x_{\frac{3}{4}} \vee y_{\frac{1}{2}} \in L^X$ ,  $g = y_1 \in L^X$  implies

$$\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)(g) = \frac{3}{4} > \frac{1}{2} = \sup(f \wedge g),$$

At  $y \neq z$ :  $f = y_1 \in L^X$ ,  $g = y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1 \in L^X$  implies

$$\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)(g) = 1 > \frac{1}{2} = \sup(f \wedge g),$$

At  $x \neq z$ :  $f = x_1 \vee y_1 \in L^X$ ,  $g = y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1 \in L^X$  implies

$$\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)(g) = 1 > \frac{1}{2} = \sup(f \wedge g).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\{x\} \cap \text{cl}_\tau\{y\} &= \{x\} \cap X \neq \emptyset = \{x\} \cap \{y\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{x\} \cap \{y\}; \\ \{y\} \cap \text{cl}_\tau\{z\} &= \{y\} \cap \{z\} = \emptyset \neq X \cap \{z\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{y\} \cap \{z\}; \\ \{x\} \cap \text{cl}_\tau\{z\} &= \{x\} \cap \{z\} = \emptyset = \{x\} \cap \{z\} = \text{cl}_\tau\{x\} \cap \{z\},\end{aligned}$$

then there are only  $\{x\}$  and  $\{z\}$  as two separated sets in  $(X, \tau)$ . As in before,  $f = x_1 \vee y_1 \in L^X$ ,  $g = y_{\frac{1}{2}} \vee z_1 \in L^X$  implies

$$\mathcal{N}(x)(f) \wedge \mathcal{N}(y)(g) = 1 > \frac{1}{2} = \sup(f \wedge g)$$

and thus  $(X, \tau)$  is a completely normal space. Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_5$ -space and is not a  $GT_6$ -space.

Now, we show that our notion of  $GT_6$ -space is an extension with respect to the functor  $\omega$  in sense of Lowen ([17]).

**Proposition 5.2** *A topological space  $(X, T)$  is  $T_6$ -space if and only if the induced  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.*

**Proof.** By means of Proposition 4.4, we have  $(X, T)$  is  $T_1$  equivalent to that  $(X, \omega(T))$  is  $GT_1$ .

Now, let  $F, G$  be two disjoint closed sets in  $(X, \omega(T))$ . Then  $F, G$  are disjoint closed in  $(X, T)$ . Since  $(X, T)$  is perfectly normal, then there exists a continuous mapping  $g : (X, T) \rightarrow (I, U)$  such that  $g^{-1}(1) = F$  and  $g^{-1}(0) = G$ . Since  $k \in \omega(T)$  implies that  $s_\alpha k \in U$  for some  $\alpha \in L_1$ , and that  $s_\alpha(g^{-1}(k)) = g^{-1}(s_\alpha k) \in T$ , which means that  $g^{-1}(k) \in \omega(T)$ , and hence  $g : (X, \omega(T)) \rightarrow (I, \omega(U))$  is  $L$ -continuous.

Consider the  $L$ -continuous mapping  $f : (I, \omega(U)) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$ ,  $f(\alpha) = \bar{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in I$ . Then  $(f \circ g) : (X, \omega(T)) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  is  $L$ -continuous such that

$$(f \circ g)^{-1}(\bar{1}) = g^{-1}(f^{-1}(\bar{1})) = g^{-1}(1) = F$$

and

$$(f \circ g)^{-1}(\bar{0}) = g^{-1}(f^{-1}(\bar{0})) = g^{-1}(0) = G.$$

That is  $(X, \omega(T))$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.

Conversely, let  $F, G$  be two disjoint closed sets in  $(X, T)$ . Then  $F, G$  are disjoint closed in  $(X, \omega(T))$ . Since  $(X, \omega(T))$  is perfectly normal, then there exists an  $L$ -continuous mapping  $g : (X, \omega(T)) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $g^{-1}(\bar{1}) = F$  and  $g^{-1}(\bar{0}) = G$ . Since we deal with ordinary subsets, then from the identifications  $T$  with  $\omega(T)$  and  $U$  with  $\mathfrak{S}$  in the crisp case, we get that there exists a continuous mapping  $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (I, U)$  such that  $f^{-1}(1) = F$  and  $f^{-1}(0) = G$ . Hence,  $(X, T)$  is a  $T_6$ -space.  $\square$

The following proposition shows that the finer  $L$ -topological space of a  $GT_6$ -space is also a  $GT_6$ -space.

**Proposition 5.3** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_6$ -space and let  $\sigma$  be an  $L$ -topology on  $X$  finer than  $\tau$ . Then  $(X, \sigma)$  is also a  $GT_6$ -space.*

**Proof.** From Proposition 4.6, we get  $(X, \sigma)$  is a  $GT_1$ -space. Let  $F, G$  be two disjoint closed sets in  $(X, \sigma)$ . Then  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$  implies that  $F, G$  are disjoint closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and hence there exists an  $L$ -continuous mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $f^{-1}(\bar{1}) = F$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{0}) = G$ . Also,  $\tau \subseteq \sigma$  implies that  $f : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  is  $L$ -continuous, and therefore  $(X, \sigma)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.  $\square$

**Initial  $GT_6$ -spaces.** The initial  $L$ -topology  $\tau = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i)$  of a family  $(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_6$ -topologies with respect to  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  fulfills the following results.

At first consider the case of one mapping.

**Proposition 5.4** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an injective mapping and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be a  $GT_6$ -space. Then the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = f^{-1}(\sigma))$  is  $GT_6$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F, G$  be disjoint closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , then from that  $f$  is injective it follows  $f(F), f(G)$  are disjoint closed sets in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and thus there exists an  $L$ -continuous mapping  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $g^{-1}(\bar{0}) = f(F)$  and  $g^{-1}(\bar{1}) = f(G)$ . Hence,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\bar{0}) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\bar{0})) = f^{-1}(f(F)) = F$  and  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(\bar{1}) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(\bar{1})) = f^{-1}(f(G)) = G$ . That is, there is a continuous mapping  $h = g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $h^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F$  and  $h^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G$ . Thus,  $(X, \tau)$  is a perfectly normal space and it is also, from Proposition 4.9, a  $GT_1$ -space. Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.  $\square$

Assume now that a family  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_6$ -spaces and a family  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  of mappings  $f_i : X \rightarrow X_i$  which are injective for some  $i \in I$  are given, where  $I$  may be any class.

**Proposition 5.5** *For the family  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_6$ -spaces, we have the initial  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = \bigvee_{i \in I} f_i^{-1}(\tau_i))$  is  $GT_6$ .*

**Proof.** We have also here, as in the previous proposition, for disjoint closed sets  $F, G$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , there is a continuous mapping  $h = g_i \circ f_i : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $h^{-1}(\bar{0}) = F$  and  $h^{-1}(\bar{1}) = G$ , where  $g_i$  is an  $L$ -continuous mapping of  $(X_i, \tau_i)$  into  $(I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $g_i^{-1}(\bar{0}) = f_i(F)$  and  $g_i^{-1}(\bar{1}) = f_i(G)$ . Thus,  $(X, \tau)$  is a perfectly normal space and it is also, from Proposition 4.9, a  $GT_1$ -space. Hence,  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.  $\square$

From Propositions 5.4 and 5.5, we have the following result.

**Corollary 5.1** *The  $L$ -topological subspaces and the  $L$ -topological product spaces of a family of  $GT_6$ -spaces are  $GT_6$ -spaces.*

**Final  $GT_6$ -spaces.** The final  $L$ -topology  $\tau = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i)$  of a family  $(\tau_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $GT_6$ -topologies with respect to  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  fulfills the following.

In case of one mapping we get this result.

**Proposition 5.6** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective  $L$ -open mapping and  $(X, \tau)$  be a  $GT_6$ -space. Then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(Y, \sigma = f(\tau))$  is  $GT_6$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $F, G$  be disjoint closed sets in  $(Y, \sigma = f(\tau))$ , then from that  $f$  is surjective, it follows that there exists  $A, B$  two disjoint closed sets in  $X$  such that  $A = f^{-1}(F)$  and  $B = f^{-1}(G)$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space, then there exists an  $L$ -continuous mapping  $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  such that  $g^{-1}(\bar{0}) = A = f^{-1}(F)$  and  $g^{-1}(\bar{1}) = B = f^{-1}(G)$ . Since  $f$  is  $L$ -open implies  $f^{-1}$  is  $L$ -continuous, then  $g \circ f^{-1} : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (I_L, \mathfrak{S})$  is an  $L$ -continuous mapping such that  $(g \circ f^{-1})^{-1}(\bar{0}) = f(g^{-1}(\bar{0})) = f(A) = F$  and  $(g \circ f^{-1})^{-1}(\bar{1}) = f(g^{-1}(\bar{1})) = f(B) = G$ . Thus,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a perfectly normal space and it is also, from Proposition 4.12, a  $GT_1$ -space. Hence,  $(Y, \sigma)$  is a  $GT_6$ -space.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7** *Let  $I$  be any class and  $((X_i, \tau_i))_{i \in I}$  a family of  $GT_6$ -spaces and  $(f_i)_{i \in I}$  a family of mappings  $f_i : X_i \rightarrow X$  which are surjective  $L$ -open for some  $i \in I$ . Then the final  $L$ -topological space  $(X, \tau = \bigwedge_{i \in I} f_i(\tau_i))$  is  $GT_6$ .*

**Proof.** Similarly, as in the proof of Proposition 5.6.  $\square$

Now, we have the following result.

**Corollary 5.2** *The  $L$ -topological quotient spaces and the  $L$ -topological sum spaces of a family of  $GT_6$ -spaces are  $GT_6$ -spaces.*

## References

- [1] J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G. Strecker; *Abstract and Concrete Categories*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York et al., 1990.
- [2] F. Bayoumi and I. Ibedou; *On  $GT_i$ -spaces*, J. of Inst. of Math. and Comp. Sci., Vol. **14**, No. **3**, (2001) 187 - 199.
- [3] F. Bayoumi and I. Ibedou;  *$T_i$ -spaces, I*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, www.etms-web.org, Vol. **10** (2) (2002) 179 - 199.
- [4] F. Bayoumi and I. Ibedou;  *$T_i$ -spaces, II*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, www.etms-web.org, Vol. **10** (2) (2002) 201 - 215.

- [5] F. Bayoumi; *On initial and final fuzzy uniform structures*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. **133**, Issue **3** (2003) 99 - 319.
- [6] F. Bayoumi and I. Ibedou; *The relation between the  $GT_i$ -spaces and fuzzy proximity spaces,  $G$ -compact spaces, fuzzy uniform spaces*, The Journal of Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, **20** (2004) 955 - 966.
- [7] F. Bayoumi, I. Ibedou;  *$GT_{\mathcal{G}_{\frac{1}{2}}}$ -spaces, I*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, www.etms-web.org, Vol.14(2) (2006) 243 - 264.
- [8] F. Bayoumi, I. Ibedou;  *$GT_{\mathcal{G}_{\frac{1}{2}}}$ -spaces, II*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, www.etms-web.org, Vol.14(2) (2006) 265 - 282.
- [9] C. L. Chang; *Fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **24** (1968) 182 - 190.
- [10] Á. Császár; *General Topology*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1978.
- [11] P. Eklund and W. Gähler; *Fuzzy filter functors and convergence*, in: *Applications of Category Theory To Fuzzy Subsets*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht et al., (1992) 109 - 136.
- [12] S. Gähler and W. Gähler; *Fuzzy real numbers*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **66** (1994) 137 - 158.
- [13] W. Gähler; *The general fuzzy filter approach to fuzzy topology, I*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **76** (1995) 205 - 224.
- [14] W. Gähler; *The general fuzzy filter approach to fuzzy topology, II*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **76** (1995) 225 - 246.
- [15] J. A. Goguen; *L-fuzzy sets*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **18** (1967) 145 - 174.
- [16] R. Lowen; *Initial and final fuzzy topologies and fuzzy Tychonoff theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **58** (1977) 11 - 21.
- [17] R. Lowen; *A comparison of different compactness notions in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **64** (1978) 446 - 454.